



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/764,750	01/18/2001	S. K. Lin	3158/01189 7989		
7590 12/16/2003 DARBY & DARBY P.C. 805 Third Avenue			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, JENNIFER T		
New York, NY	•		. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2674	8	
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/764,750		LIN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jennifer T N		2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. I. 136(a). In no event eply within the statuto d will apply and will e ute, cause the applica	t, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t ation to become ABANDONEC	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 January 2001</u> .							
2a)[_	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
Attachmen								
2) Notice	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/764,750

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is responsive to amendment filed on 8/19/2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,606,088) in view of Kai et al. (Pub. No.: US 2002/0122041) and further in view of Nakano et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,229,513).

Regarding claim 1, referring to Fig. 2, Yang teaches LCD monitor comprising: a panel module (20) having a gate driver (22) and a source driver (24); a control board (10) disposed on a first side of the panel module, comprising: an input interface (12) for receiving plural types video signal (i.e., V1-V3), adapted to select a first type video signal from the plural types of video signal and to generate a first video signal according to the first type video signal; a micro-processing device (14) adapted to output a control signal to generate a gate/source-driving signal for the gate driver and the source driver according to the first digital video signal (col. 2, line 51 to col. 4, line 13).

Yang differs from claim 1 in that he does not specifically teach the control signal that controls the scale module and a cover structure conjugating the frame structure in the aspect of the first side, and covering upon the first side of the panel module and the control board thereon. However, referring to Fig. 5, Kai teaches a micro-processing device (46) adapted to output a

Application/Control Number: 09/764,750

Art Unit: 2674

control signal that controls the scale module (43) (see paragraphs [0056]-[0061]) and referring to Figs. 10-12, Nakano teaches a frame structure (SHD), covering the periphery of the panel module; and a cover structure (LF1, LF2) conjugating the frame structure (SHD) in the aspect of the first side, and covering upon the first side of the panel module and the control board thereon (col. 14, lines 28-55 and col. 15, lines 14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the control signal that controls the scale module as taught by Kai and the frame structure and cover structure as taught by Nakano in the system of Allen in order to allow controlling the performances of the pixels in predetermined conditions and protect the inner electronic devices.

Regarding claim 3, Yang further teaches the video signal is provided from a computer, and the digital signal comprises RGB signals (col. 3, lines 1-13).

Regarding claim 4, Yang further teaches the input interface comprises an A/D converter (126) (col. 3, lines 1-13).

Regarding claim 5, the combination of Yang, Kai, and Nakano teaches the conventional LCD monitor comprises input interface is further adapted to select a second-type video signal from the plural types of video signals, and generate a second digital video signal according to the second-type video signal to the scale module, and the micro-processing device outputs a corresponding second control signal that controls the scale module to generate the gate/source-driving signal according to the second digital video signal, wherein the second-type video signal is from a video device (col. 2 of Yang, line 51 to col. 4, line 13).

Regarding claim 6, the combination of Yang, Kai, and Nakano teaches a switching board (42, 44) that is adapted to provide a switching signal to the scale module (43), whereby adjusting

Application/Control Number: 09/764,750

Art Unit: 2674

the gate/source driving signal and regulating the performance of pictures displayed on the panel module (see paragraphs [0056]-[0061] of Kai).

Regarding claim 7, Yang further teaches a power module (18) for supplying electric power to the LCD monitor (col. 4, lines 30-47).

Regarding claims 8 and 9, Yang further teaches the power module comprises an AC/DC adapter for converting an alternating current source into at least one direct current source, wherein the direct current source is adapted to supply the LCD monitor direct currents (col. 4, lines 30-47).

Regarding claim 10, the combination of Yang, Kai, and Nakano teaches the cover structure is fabricated from materials for resisting electromagnetic effects (col. 2, lines 37-45 of Nakano).

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,606,088) and Kai et al. (Pub. No.: US 2002/0122041) in view of Nakano et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,229,513) and further in view of Dalgleish (U. S. Patent No. 6,373,476).

Regarding claim 2, the combination of Yang, Kai, and Nakano differs from claim 2 in that it does not specifically teach video signals comprises an EDID signal and memory device for storing the EDID signal. However, Dalgleish Teaches a video signal comprises an EDID signal (from col. 4, line 58 to col. 5, line 6). Dalgleish does not specifically teach the memory device is on control board. However, it would have been obvious to obtain the memory device is on control board in order to provide a simpler modular arrangement for the monitor. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the EDID signal as taught by Dalgleish in the system of the combination of Yang,

Art Unit: 2674

Kai, and Nakano in order to provide a communicating protocol between a host computer and the LCD monitor.

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jennifer T. Nguyen** whose telephone number is **703-305-3225**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richard A Hjerpe** can be reach at **703-305-4709**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC. 20231

Or faxed to: 703-872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, sixth-floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose

telephone number is 703-306-0377.

Jennifer T. Nguyen 12/06/2003

RICHARD HJERPE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMPLER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800